

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/088,830	GUTIERREZ-ARMENTA ET AL.
	Examiner Cynthia Collins	Art Unit 1638

All Participants:

(1) Cynthia Collins.

Status of Application: Election/Restriction

(3) _____.

(2) Paul Legaard.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 February 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The necessity to restrict SEQ ID NO:4 from the rest of the sequences recited in claim 16 as SEQ ID NO:4 (an E2F protein) is structurally and functionally different from the other sequences (from a single wheat DP protein), which would require a separate search. It was agreed that claims directed to sequences corresponding to the wheat DP protein, ie Group I, are elected and to be prosecuted..